

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,506	FITCH, MICHAEL K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Jermie Cozart.

(3) _____.

(2) Frank Lachenmaier.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 October 2005

Time: 4:15pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Frank Lachenmaier, Mr. Lachenmaier agreed to amend claims 1 and 5 by examiner's amendment to correct minor informalities in order to place the application in condition for allowance. In addition, Mr. Lachenmaier agreed to amend the drawings by inserting missing reference numeral "44" into the appropriate figure.